

THE Relationship Between Organizational Justice, Organizational Commitment And Innovative Work Behavior Of Civil Servants In Davao De ORO

Katrina Faith F. Panimdim¹, Joseph Elmer G. Noval, EdM²

¹Student, University of the Immaculate Conception, Davao City, Philippines
panimdimkatrina30@gmail.com

²University of the Immaculate Conception, Davao City, Philippines
jnoval@uic.edu.ph

ABSTRACT

The lack of thorough research into how government workers in Davao de Oro align their jobs and whether they receive proper incentives, perks, and mental health care has left gaps in our understanding of their efficacy. This research sought to address these gaps by providing civil servants with an opportunity to share their experiences and viewpoints. A quantitative method contextualized the linkages between innovative work behavior, organizational commitment, and organizational justice. Additionally, these phenomena were thoroughly evaluated using a descriptive-correlational study approach. The respondents were 150 employees from specific Davao de Oro Local Government Units (LGUs), with a focus on those with relevant work experience, especially those in supervisory positions. Findings revealed that innovative work behavior among civil servants in Davao de Oro was evident, as employees demonstrated adaptability, strategic thinking, and dedication to organizational development. The status of organizational justice was highly demonstrated, indicating that civil servants perceived their work environment as fair and just, fostering trust, morale, and commitment. Furthermore, organizational commitment was often evident, suggesting that civil servants exhibited a positive emotional attachment, identification, and loyalty toward their organization, aligning with its goals and values. Crucially, correlation analysis revealed a significant, moderate positive relationship between organizational justice and innovative work behavior ($r = .449$, $p < .05$), as well as a significant, moderate positive relationship between organizational commitment and innovative work behavior ($r = .442$, $p < .05$). These results indicate that higher perceptions of workplace fairness and stronger institutional loyalty directly correspond to increased creative and problem-solving actions among personnel. This study contributes to a deeper understanding of the factors that influence civil servants' effectiveness, guiding future improvements in public-sector management and policymaking.

KEYWORDS: *business management, innovative work behavior, organizational justice, organizational commitment, Philippines*

INTRODUCTION

In the face of rapidly shifting socioeconomic conditions, civil servants must continually innovate to enhance public service quality and maximize citizen satisfaction (Hansen & Pihl-Thingvad, 2019; de Vries et al., 2015). In this demanding environment, they face immense pressure to develop efficient new work methods with fewer resources while balancing organizational trustworthiness, competitiveness, and national progress (Johari & Yahya, 2016).

Innovative work behavior involves developing and implementing new ideas to enhance problem-solving (González-González & García-Almeida, 2021), an asset that organizations actively foster through supportive environments and incentives, in line with SDG 16's call for effective, transparent, and inclusive governance (Karimi et al., 2023; Paskov, 2024). Innovative employees adapt faster to workplace changes (Haque & Yamoah, 2021), utilize internal motivation to boost performance (Afsar & Badir, 2017), and navigate complex business environments to secure the long-term global competitiveness and sustained success of their organizations (Asfar et al., 2019; Lasisi et al., 2020; Prieto et al., 2014).

The interaction between innovative work behavior, organizational justice, and organizational commitment directly shapes workplace dynamics and overall institutional effectiveness. The perception of fair and ethical treatment, encompassing distributive, procedural, and interactional justice, strongly drives employees' motivation for creative problem-solving, while simultaneously boosting job satisfaction, organizational commitment, workforce stability, and public service delivery (Akram, 2019; Heidari et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2022; Mendez et al., 2022; Taylor et al., 2022).

Existing studies have explored innovative work behavior among civil servants; few have examined how their perceptions of workplace conditions, expectations, and institutional inclusivity shape their actions and decisions. Furthermore, research has yet to fully address whether injustices and inequalities in the workplace influence their worldview and professional conduct. There remains a lack of comprehensive investigation into how civil servants in Davao de Oro match their roles and whether they receive adequate incentives, perks, and mental health support to sustain their effectiveness. This study seeks to bridge these gaps by providing civil servants a platform to express their experiences and perspectives, ensuring that their voices are acknowledged by both academics and policymakers in the public sector.

The study's findings will be presented to the civil servants of Davao de Oro so they can respond confidently in their work. Additionally, the researcher will share the study's findings in local and international research conferences. Also, findings will be published in refereed journals, and a copy of the study will be available at the University of the Immaculate Conception library. This study aimed to examine the relationship between organizational justice, organizational commitment, and innovative work behavior among civil servants in Davao de Oro. Specifically, it sought to determine the status of innovative work behavior in terms of opportunity exploration, idea generation, championing, and application ideas, as well as the status of organizational justice as rated by civil servants across its distributive, procedural, and interactional dimensions. Furthermore, the study examined organizational commitment in terms of affective, continuance, and normative commitment. Finally, it evaluated whether significant relationships exist between organizational justice and innovative work behavior, and between organizational commitment and innovative work behavior.

METHODS

This study employed a quantitative, descriptive-correlational research design to evaluate the relationships among organizational justice, organizational commitment, and innovative work behavior. The utility of this quantitative approach lies in its capacity to analyze data objectively, ensuring that the empirical findings remain statistically significant, verifiable, and replicable (Field, 2014). The geographical focus of this investigation was the province of Davao de Oro, specifically targeting the municipalities of Compostela, Montevista, Nabunturan, Monkayo, Maragusan, and Maco. Situated in the middle-eastern portion of the Davao Region, this province serves as a vital administrative hub hosting numerous government facilities responsible for public service delivery, making it an ideal institutional setting for analyzing innovative work behavior. The research respondents comprised 150 employees from the selected local government units (LGUs), with a particular focus on individuals with relevant job experience and supervisory responsibilities. To achieve a representative, diverse sample across administrative tiers, a two-stage probability sampling design was used, with simple random sampling followed by stratified random selection within LGU departments. Participation remained strictly voluntary, allowing respondents to withdraw at any juncture without repercussions, while preliminary briefings ensured a comprehensive understanding of the informed consent protocols.

Data collection utilized adapted closed-ended survey questionnaires evaluated on a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from 5 (*Strongly Agree*) to 1 (*Strongly Disagree*). The instrument was structured into three distinct segments: Part I evaluated Innovative Work Behavior (10 items), Part II measured Organizational Justice (19 items), and Part III assessed Organizational Commitment (10 items). Prior to distribution, the instrument underwent a rigorous content validation process by external experts, whose feedback was integrated to refine the items, followed by a preliminary pilot test among a small cluster of LGU personnel within the target municipalities to establish internal consistency. Formal data collection commenced immediately upon securing institutional ethical clearance from the University of the Immaculate Conception Research Ethics Committee (UIC-REC). Following the issuance of this REC certification, official authorization was sought and obtained from the Graduate School Dean, which facilitated the acquisition of formal letters of acceptance from the respective LGU administrations to distribute the survey instruments to the target participants legally. With administrative support from local officials, the survey was administered systematically, requiring participants to sign an informed consent form detailing the 10- to 20-minute execution time and the right to skip sensitive items. Compliance with the Data Privacy Act of 2012 (Republic Act No. 10173) was strictly enforced by maintaining absolute respondent anonymity, utilizing alphanumeric codes for data entry, and securing files in locked cabinets and password-protected laptops. All research artifacts will be securely retained for 3 years, after which physical documents will be shredded, and digital files will be permanently purged to restrict unauthorized third-party access. The gathered data were processed and analyzed using three primary statistical tools. The *Arithmetic Mean* was calculated to establish the general status, central tendency, and categorical levels of innovative work behavior, organizational justice, and organizational commitment. *Standard Deviation* was utilized to evaluate the internal response variance, measuring data dispersion and response consistency around the computed arithmetic means. *Spearman's Rho* (ρ) was used as the inferential nonparametric statistic to assess the significance, direction, and strength of relationships between the independent organizational variables and innovative work behavior. Strict ethical standards were maintained via comprehensive review and approval by the UIC-REC under Protocol Code: GS-ER-02-25-0171, ensuring adherence to the ten foundational principles of research ethics: social value, informed consent, vulnerability mitigation, risk-benefit optimization, privacy, justice, transparency, researcher qualification, facility adequacy, and community involvement. This rigorous execution was reinforced by the researcher's specialized ethics training, guidance

from the advisory panel, and institutional resource support from the university library to safeguard study validity and reliability.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Status of Innovative Work Behavior

The data in Table 1 describe the status of innovative work behavior across four indicators: opportunity exploration, idea generation, championing, and application. The result showed that the innovative work behavior status is high, with an overall mean score of 4.07, indicating that it is evident. Furthermore, the standard deviation for innovative work behavior ranges from 0.65 to 1.12. Items less than 1.0 indicate response consistency, whereas those greater than 1.0 indicate response heterogeneity. This result implies that, driven by a strong commitment to continuous improvement, the organization went above and beyond daily responsibilities to refine processes, experiment with new methods, generate innovative solutions, inspire key organizational members, and eventually integrate ground-breaking ideas into work practices, fostering meaningful progress and transformation throughout the organization.

Table 1
Status of Innovative Work Behavior

Domain/Statements	Mean	SD	Description
Opportunity Exploration Category Mean	3.73	0.85	High
Idea Generation Category Mean	4.22	0.57	Very High
Championing Category Mean	4.14	0.63	High
Application Category Mean	4.19	0.62	High
Overall Mean	4.07	0.54	High

The findings are consistent with those of Lu et al. (2019), who found that employees innovate to enhance working conditions and produce exceptional output.

Opportunity Exploration. The first indicator had a high category mean of 3.73, demonstrating evidence. It implies that respondents address workplace challenges and seek to refine processes and outcomes, illustrating a commitment to continuous improvement. These findings align with Tu et al.'s (2022)

research, which shows that employers who encourage their subordinates to explore opportunities can help an organization achieve its goals and remain viable in the long run.

Idea Generation. The figure showed a very high category mean of 4.22, highly evidence. This demonstrated organizational flexibility and a deep commitment to optimizing processes. This result affirmed the idea that idea generation is a feature of innovation at work since inventive ideas are the root of all innovative work behavior, and are essential for creative and innovative job behavior (Mehta et al., 2014). In addition, item 1, which pertained to searching for new working methods, techniques, or instruments, garnered the highest mean score of 4.34, indicating a very high level. It implied that seeking innovative methods, strategies, or resources demonstrated a proactive approach to boosting output, flexibility, and creativity. The result supported that innovative work behavior relied on idea generation, which drove creativity, progress, and adaptability within organizations (Effendy et al., 2021; Mehta et al., 2014). Concurrently, item 3, which involved finding new approaches to executing tasks, had the lowest mean score of 4.15, classified as high. It is noted that finding innovative methods to complete tasks showed creativity and a dedication to improving efficacy and efficiency. The study by Mehta et al. (2014) found that idea generation fostered innovation and creativity, advancing progress. As the initial stage of the innovation process, concept development, individual creativity, and workable ideas were essential for fostering long-term organizational success (Cerne et al., 2022).

Championing. This indicator attained a high category mean of 4.14, indicating strong evidence. This demonstrates that promoting innovation among peers and leadership fosters an institutional culture of creativity and strategic persuasion, confirming the arguments of Anderson et al. (2014) regarding the value of fostering new ideas in innovation-driven markets. Within this domain, item 1—focusing on influencing key organizational members to be enthusiastic about innovative ideas—garnered the highest mean score of 4.20 (*Very High*). This implies that rallying institutional leaders around novel strategies is a vital leadership trait that cultivates original thinking. However, as noted by Koziol-Nadolna (2020), championed ideas frequently face intensive scrutiny over whether their projected benefits outweigh development costs, particularly when addressing localized performance gaps amid systemic resistance to change.

On the other hand, item 2, which attempted to convince people to support an innovative idea, had the lowest mean of 4.06 but was described as

high. It signifies that convincing an individual to embrace an innovative idea is a sign of effective communication and the capacity to generate transformative support. This result supports the opinion of Anderson et al. (2014) that innovation and originality are critical to a company's success. Once a championing group is built, it is critical to advocate for it, as most ideas require marketing since they typically differ from what is already in use in their company or workplace.

Application. In this domain, the category mean score is 4.19, indicating that civil servants are open to exceptional ideas. This demonstrates that systematically putting concepts into practice and investing personal effort into operational development reflect a proactive dedication to organizational progress. This execution aligns with Burcharth et al. (2017), who observed that institutional efficacy depends heavily on the degree of autonomy and flexibility granted to personnel to execute creative ideas independently. Notably, item 3, expending effort to develop new things, yielded a very high mean score of 4.23, suggesting that deliberate execution is a clear indicator of innovative dedication and an internal drive for advancement, supporting Anderson et al.'s (2014) emphasis on execution as a pillar of organizational success.

Meanwhile, item 1, which focused on systematically introducing innovative ideas into daily work practices, received the lowest score of 4.14 and remained classified as *High*. The systematic integration of new models into established workflows demands long-term strategic thinking and leadership support. This dynamic aligns with Koziol-Nadolna (2020), emphasizing that cultivating a resilient public-sector workspace requires structured administrative support systems that simultaneously promote and sustain creative employee behaviors over time.

Status of Organizational Justice as Rated by the Civil Servants

The data in Table 2 presented the status of organizational justice measured by three indicators: distributive justice, procedural justice, and interactional justice. Based on the data shown, organizational justice had an overall mean of 4.25, indicating very high levels of organizational justice. Organizational justice had a standard deviation ranging from 0.61 to 1.12. Items with a score of less than 1.0 showed consistent responses, while those with a score of more than 1.0 showed heterogeneous responses. This result indicated that civil servants of Davao de Oro attest to the strong demonstration of organizational justice. These findings indicated that employees experienced

fairness in working conditions and salaries. Also, they feel that managers made fair, transparent, and consistent employment choices based on employee feedback.

Table 2
Status of Organizational Justice as Rated by the Civil Servants

Domain/Statements	Mean	SD	Description
Distributive Justice Category Mean	4.26	0.63	Very High
Procedural Justice Category Mean	4.26	0.72	Very High
Interactional Justice Category Mean	4.24	0.77	Very High
Overall Mean	4.25	0.61	Very High

This result is also consistent with Wan's (2015) study, which established that institutional fairness, structured decision-making processes, and the interpersonal treatment workers receive from one another constitute the core aspects of organizational justice. Additionally, as noted by Lu and Guy (2016), organizational justice remains one of the most frequently investigated topics across organizational behavior, organizational psychology, and human resource management.

Distributive Justice. This scope achieved a category mean of 4.26 (highly demonstrated), which is very high. It underscores that embracing responsibility and recognizing equity in working circumstances are signs of job satisfaction and a strong feeling of dedication to the organization. This result supported the study by Srivastava (2015) on distributive justice; however, distributive justice is defined as the sense of objectivity in judgments and actions, such as performance reviews, compensation, prizes, and acknowledgment. The article item 5, 'feeling a sense of responsibility towards my job,' had the highest mean score of 4.46, which is considered very high. It signified that taking responsibility for one's work shows a commitment to one's career and a desire to achieve organizational objectives. This result, as noted in the study by Swalhi et al. (2017), assesses fairness with respect to achievement (rewards).

On the other hand, item 3, which was considered my workload to be quite fair, had the lowest mean score but was described as high. It implied that a balanced task allocation is indicated by a perception of a fair workload, which also adds to overall job satisfaction and well-being." According to Campbell et

al. (2014), there is a connection between resource allocation and the sense of distributive fairness; in other words, employees' sense of fairness is contingent on perceptions of equitable distribution and sufficient replenishment of resources.

Procedural Justice. The category mean of 4.26 was classified as very high and highly demonstrated. This shows that maintaining systemic fairness within organizational processes and executive decision-making creates an environment of openness, inclusion, and trust. This finding highlights civil servants' dedication to ensuring that administrative decisions are well-informed and grounded in reliable data, affirming Srivastava's (2015) assertion that perceived equity in rules and decision-making mechanisms shapes overall procedural justice attitudes. Notably, item 3, stating that the manager collects accurate and complete information before making job decisions, received the highest mean score of 4.34, a very high rating, indicating that thorough data collection prior to decision-making demonstrates administrative diligence, impartiality, and sound judgment. This is consistent with Swalhi et al. (2017), who posited that procedural fairness, reflecting individual perceptions of the rules governing resource distribution, achieves equitable outcomes through consistency and serves as the baseline for social exchange, institutional trust, and employee cooperation.

On the other hand, item 6, which asked whether employees are allowed to challenge or appeal job decisions made by managers, received the lowest score of 4.13 but was described as high. This score still reflects a positive perception, encouraging workers to contest or appeal management decisions and promoting an equitable, open, and empowered work environment. This result supported the study. According to Lee et al. (2017), a well-structured and fair procedural framework enabled employees to embrace organizational principles and adapt effectively to external changes, thereby promoting workplace stability and alignment.

Interactional Justice. This indicator yielded a category mean of 4.24, showing that this dimension is highly manifested and very high. This implies that interpersonal kindness, professional respect, transparency, and clarity from managers during decision-making directly promote a culture of justice, mutual trust, and positive workplace dynamics, matching Srivastava's (2015) focus on how employees evaluate personal treatment during policy implementation. Specifically, statement 2 regarding the manager treating the employee with

respect and dignity during job decisions was rated very high at 4.39, indicating that dignified treatment demonstrates moral leadership and reinforces supervisor-employee bonds. This aligns with Yean and Yusof (2016), who suggested that delivering clear explanations and justifications for administrative choices directly enhances interactional fairness. Concurrently, item 3, concerning the manager's sensitivity to personal needs in job decisions, achieved a high score of 3.96, indicating that managerial consideration of personal circumstances reflects compassionate leadership that effectively increases employee satisfaction and organizational confidence.

Status of Organizational Commitment

Table 3 presents the status of organizational commitment, measured across 3 indicators: affective, continuance, and normative commitment. Based on the data, organizational commitment is high, with an overall mean of 3.90, and is often manifested. Organizational Commitment has a standard deviation of 0.75 to 1.12. Items with a score of less than 1.0 show consistency in responses, while those with a score of more than 1.0 show heterogeneity. It suggested that employees remained loyal to their employer due to clear communication, emotional attachment, social connection, workplace identification, tangible and intangible benefits, perceived value, financial and personal costs of leaving, recognition, promotions, ethical considerations, and the organization's investment in their development.

Table 3
Status of Organizational Commitment

Domain/Statements	Mean	SD	Description
Affective Commitment Category Mean	3.93	0.78	High
Continuance Commitment Category Mean	3.96	0.79	High
Normative Commitment Category Mean	3.80	0.93	High
Overall Mean	3.90	0.75	High

The results show that organizational commitment reflects employee loyalty and the ongoing process through which members demonstrate concern for their organization, embrace its values and objectives, and make decisions aligned with its mission. When employees declare their commitment, they affirm

their dedication to the firm and their intention to remain, contributing to its growth and long-term success (Prasetyo et al., 2021).

Affective Commitment. The item pointed out a category mean score of 3.93, which is considered high (often manifested). This implies that strong organizational engagement is driven by transparent managerial communication, emotional bonding, and a personal identification with institutional issues, supporting the framework that affective commitment psychologically binds employees to their workplace through internal loyalty and shared goals (Singh & Gupta, 2015). Specifically, item 1, evaluating whether the manager explains job-related decisions clearly, received the highest mean score of 4.33, which is a very high rating, indicating that providing concise justifications reflects effective leadership and fosters workplace transparency. This outcome aligns with Oreg et al. (2018), who noted that clear managerial communication channels facilitate proactive support and emotional buy-in during organizational transitions. Conversely, item 4, which focuses on internalizing the company's problems as one's own, obtained the lowest score in the domain at 3.60, remaining classified as high. This score implies that a strong sense of institutional ownership and emotional investment remains evident through employee identification with organizational difficulties, corresponding with Ananda and Winata's (2017) finding that deep psychological participation safeguards retention because emotionally attached members choose to remain with the institution permanently.

Continuance Commitment. This indicator has a high category mean of 3.96, indicating a strong sense of continuance commitment, as is often the case. Perceived rewards, a developing sense of personal importance, and the rising cost of leaving the firm are the main factors that motivate commitment to the organization. The result aligns with the study by Nurhayati et al. (2021), which emphasized that sustained dedication to practice and integration into continuance commitment are essential. Moreover, innovation thrives when diverse groups collaborate, combining intellectual talent, scientific expertise, and experience. Similarly, Yulianti (2015) highlighted that an employee's continuance commitment is influenced by the organization's perks and the costs of leaving; employees remain with the organization because they rely on it for stability and support.

Normative Commitment. This indicator attained a high mean score of 3.80, indicating a high level of manifestation. It implies that recognition, ethical

concerns, and appreciation of the company's investment in employee growth and development are what motivate commitment to the organization. This result supports the study, according to Cak et al. (2015, normative commitment refers to an employee's sense of obligation to remain with an organization and fulfill their tasks without concern for the potential consequences of resignation; this differs from ongoing commitment, as a feeling of duty drives it to stay engaged with the organization. Similarly, a person's commitment to maintaining his membership in an organization, stemming from his perception of his duty to the organization, is known as normative commitment (Yulianti, 2015).

Correlation Between Variables

The data presented in Table 4 pertain to the correlation results, which indicate a moderate positive relationship between organizational justice and innovative work behavior ($r = .449$, $p\text{-value} < .05$). This implies that when employees are treated justly in their organization, they are more likely to engage in innovative work behavior. The research by Akram et al. (2019) found that organizational justice is essential for fostering innovative workplace behaviors, as it creates an environment where workers feel appreciated and inspired to make new contributions. Similarly, Agarwal (2014) found that social justice policies fostered a sense of fairness among communities, workers, stakeholders, and customers, ensuring that opportunities were open and fair to everyone. Research had revealed a moderate positive correlation between organizational commitment and innovative work behavior ($p < 0.05$). This suggested that employees who were deeply committed to their organization tended to exhibit more innovative work behaviors. Those who had felt a strong connection to their workplace had become more willing to explore new ideas, challenge conventional processes, and contribute to meaningful improvements. Their dedication had fostered an environment where creativity had thrived, enabling them to drive change and strengthen the organization's ability to adapt and grow.

Table 4
Correlation between Variables

Variables Correlated with Innovative Work Behavior	r	p	Remarks
Organizational Justice	.449	.000	Significant
Organizational Commitment	.442	.000	Significant

In addition, Table 4 revealed a significant relationship between organizational commitment and innovative work behavior ($r = .442$, $p\text{-value} <$

.05). This result is consistent with the idea that organizational commitment is another key factor influencing innovative work behavior. Committed employees strive to achieve organizational goals and remain loyal under all circumstances, leading them to perform creatively and originally (Dahri et al., 2017; Ramadhani et al., 2023; Wulandari et al., 2018).

CONCLUSION

Based on the empirical findings of this study, the following conclusions are drawn, systematically sequenced to address the research questions regarding public sector dynamics: First, the status of innovative work behavior among civil servants in Davao de Oro is high and clearly evident. Personnel explicitly manifest this behavior through proactive exploration of operational opportunities, creative idea generation, intentional championing of fresh concepts to key stakeholders, and the systematic application of adaptive solutions, demonstrating that these public servants actively go beyond standard responsibilities to drive continuous transformation within their local government units. Second, the status of organizational justice within the studied LGUs is very high, signifying an exceptionally strong demonstration of institutional fairness. This positive perception confirms that civil servants experience equitable resource and workload distribution (distributive justice), rule-governed, precise, and inclusive decision-making processes (procedural justice), and a high level of professional dignity, interactional respect, and personal sensitivity from their immediate managers (interactional justice). Third, the respondents' organizational commitment is high and frequently expressed, demonstrating strong institutional loyalty and alignment with public sector values. This workforce stability is characterized by a robust psychological and emotional attachment to the institution (affective commitment), a pragmatic awareness of the financial and socio-professional stability associated with retention (continuance commitment), and a firm moral obligation and duty to remain dedicated to the LGU's long-term growth (normative commitment). Fourth, significant, moderate positive relationships exist between organizational justice and innovative work behavior ($r=.449$, $p<.05$), as well as between organizational commitment and innovative work behavior ($r=.442$, $p<.05$). These inferential results indicate that heightened perceptions of workplace fairness and deeper institutional loyalty function as direct organizational drivers that significantly expand creative problem-solving actions, process improvements, and administrative progress among personnel. In conclusion, these integrated outcomes strongly validate Moorman's Organizational Justice Theory (1991) and Cropanzano's Social Exchange Theory (2017) regarding

public-sector behavioral dynamics. Structural fairness, objective resource distribution, and respectful interactional leadership establish a baseline of institutional trust that reinforces emotional and normative commitment; this psychological alignment initiates a positive social exchange that prompts civil servants to reciprocate by demonstrating the innovative work behaviors necessary for public sector advancement.

REFERENCES

- Karimi, S., Malek, F. A., Farani, A. Y., & Liobikienė, G. (2023b). The role of transformational leadership in developing innovative work behaviors: the mediating role of employees' psychological capital. *Sustainability*, 15(2), 1267. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15021267>
- Kerwin, S., Jordan, J. S., & Turner, B. A. (2015). Organizational justice and conflict: *do perceptions of fairness influence disagreement?* *Sport Management Review*, 18(3), 384-395. doi: 10.1016/j.smr.2014.10.005 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smr.2014.10.005>
- Khosravizadeh, E., Kamankesh, A., Moghadasi, H., & Zohrevandian, K. (2019). The relationship between Organizational Justice and Job Engagement with Organizational Performance of coaches (case study: Arak Sports boards). *Organizational Behavior Management in Sport Studies*, 6(1), 55–63. <https://doi.org/10.30473/fmss.2019.45213.1913>.
- Kozioł-Nadolna, K. (2020). The Role of a Leader in Stimulating Innovation in an Organization. *Administrative Sciences*, 10, 59. <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci1003005>
- Lee, H., & Lee, S. (2021). No More One-size-fits-all Approach to Organizational Commitment: Evidence from a Quantile Regression. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 42(4), 709–737. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734371x2111026011>
- Lin, Q., & Yi, L. (2022). Survival of the fittest: the multiple paths of entrepreneurial leadership driving adaptive innovation in uncertain environment. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 26(4), 1150–1167. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ejim-10-2021-0488>
- Lin, Z., & Shin, H. (2021). Structural Relationship Between Organizational Justice, Organizational Trust, and Knowledge Sharing and Innovative Behavior: *Focus on Professors from Chinese Sport Universities*. *Journal of Physical Education* <https://doi.org/10.7752/jpes.2021.02110>.
- Lu, M., Luo, J., Chen, W., & Wang, M. (2019). The influence of job satisfaction on the relationship between professional identity and burnout: A study of student teachers in Western China. *Current Psychology*, 41(1), 289–297. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-019-00565-7>
- Lu, X., & Guy, M. E. (2016). Political skill, organizational justice, and career success in mainland China. *International Review of Administrative*

- Sciences*, 84(2), 371–388. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852315619025>
- Matejun, M. (2018). The process of opportunities exploration and exploitation in the development of SMES' innovativeness. *Management and Production Engineering Review*, 9, 3–15. <https://doi.org/10.24425/119529>
- Mehta, M., Chandani, A., & Neeraja, B. (2014). Creativity and Innovation: assurance for growth. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 11, 804–811. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671\(14\)00244-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671(14)00244-5)
- Mendez, N., & Avellaneda, C. N. (2022). Organizational commitment in public servants through civic engagement. *Public Administration*, 101(3), 1055–1071. <https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.12840>
- Moorman, R. H. (1991). Relationship between organizational justice and organizational citizenship behaviors: Do fairness perceptions influence employee citizenship? *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 76(6), 845–855. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.76.6.845>
- Ngugi, J.K. (2021). Innovation, Entrepreneurship, and Sustainability for ICT Students Towards the Post-COVID-19 Era. Pennsylvania: IGI Global Publisher of Timely Knowledge.
- Abstein, A., & Spieth, P. (2014). Exploring HRM Meta-Features that Foster Employees' Innovative Work Behavior in Times of Increasing Work–Life Conflict. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 23(2), 211–225. <https://doi.org/10.1111/caim.12053>
- Abukhait, R. M., Bani-Melhem, S., & Zeffane, R. (2018). EMPOWERMENT, KNOWLEDGE SHARING AND INNOVATIVE BEHAVIOURS: EXPLORING GENDER DIFFERENCES. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 23(01), 1950006. <https://doi.org/10.1142/s1363919619500063>
- Afsar, B., Masood, M., & Umrani, W. A. (2019). The role of job crafting and knowledge sharing on the effect of transformational leadership on innovative work behavior. *Personnel Review*, 48(5), 1186–1208. <https://doi.org/10.1108/pr-04-2018-0133>
- Agarwal, U. A. (2014). Linking justice, trust and innovative work behaviour to work engagement. *Personnel Review*, 43(1), 41–73.
- Aguiar-Quintana, T., Araujo-Cabrera, Y., & Park, S. (2020). The sequential relationships of hotel employees' perceived justice, commitment, and organizational citizenship behavior in a high unemployment context. *Tourism Management Perspectives*, 35. doi: 10.1016/j.tmp.2020.100676 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmp.2020.100676>

- Akram, T., Haider, M. J., & Feng, Y. X. (2016). The effects of organizational justice on the innovative work behavior of employees: an empirical study from China. *Journal of Creativity and Business Innovation*, 2, 114-126.
- Akram, T., Lei, S., Haider, M. J., & Hussain, S. T. (2019). The impact of organizational justice on employee innovative work behavior: Mediating role of knowledge sharing. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 5(2), 117-129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2019.10.001>
- Ananda, Susi, & Winata, Hendri. (2017). Upaya Meningkatkan Komitmen Organisasi Melalui Perilaku Kepemimpinan. *Jurnal Pendidikan Manajemen Perkantoran*, 2(1), 78-86.
- Anderson, N., Potočník, K., & Zhou, J. (2014). Innovation and Creativity in Organizations: *A State-of-the-Science Review, Prospective Commentary, and Guiding Framework*. *Journal of Management*, 40(5), 1297- 1333
- Arends, J., & Perrone, E. (2025, March 17). *Spearman's rho for bivariate zero-inflated data*. arXiv.org. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.13148>
- Backstrom, I., & Bengtsson, L. (2019). A mapping study of employee innovation: *proposing a research agenda*. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 1-26.
- Bani-Melhem, S., Zeffane, R., & Albaity, M. (2018). Determinants of employees' innovative behavior. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 30(3), 1601-1620
- Benitez, J., Llorens, J. & Brajos, J. (2018). How information technology influences opportunity exploration and exploitation firms' capabilities. *Information & Management*, 55(4), 508-523.
- Berberoglu, A., Secim, H. (2015), Organizational commitment and perceived organizational performance among health care professionals: *Empirical evidence from a private Hospital in Northern Cyprus*. *Journal of Economics and Behavioral Studies*, 7(1), 64-71.
- Byrne Et Al., (2014). *Explaining the effect of organizational justice on job satisfaction and work performance*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/366473948_Explaining_the_effect_of_Organizational_Justice_on_Job_Satisfaction_and_Work_Performance. Retrieved April 29, 2025, from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/366473948_Explaining_the_effect_of_Organizational_Justice_on_Job_Satisfaction_and_Work_Performance
- Burcharth, A., Knudsen, M. P., & Søndergaard, H. A. (2017). The role of employee autonomy for open innovation performance. *Business Process Management Journal*, 23(6), 1245-1269. <https://doi.org/10.1108/bpmj-10-2016-0209>
- Cak, N., Asfuroglu, L., & Erbas, O. (2015). The Relationship Between the Level

- of Attachment in Romantic Relations, Affective Commitment and Continuance Commitment Towards Organization: *A Field Research. Procedure, Economics and Finance*, 26(15), 1007–1013.
- Campbell, N. S., Perry, S. J., Maertz, C. P., Allen, D. G., & Griffeth, R. W. (2014). All you need is . . . resources: The effects of justice and support on burnout and turnover. *Human Relations*, 66(6), 759–782. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726712462614>
- Carlucci, D., Mura, M., & Schiuma, G. (2019). Fostering Employees' Innovative Work Behavior in Healthcare Organizations. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 2050014, 1–28.
- Cerne, M., Kase, R. & Skerlavaj, M. (2022). Idea championing as a missing link between idea generation and team innovation implementation: *A situated emergence approach*. *European Management Journal*, 41(1).
- Chernyak-Hai, L., & Rabenu, E. (2018). The new era workplace relationships: Is social exchange theory still relevant? *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 11(3), 456–481. <https://doi.org/10.1017/iop.2018.5>
- Cropanzano, R., Anthony, E. L., Daniels, S. R., & Hall, A. V. (2017). Social Exchange Theory: A Critical Review with Theoretical Remedies. *Academy of Management Annals*, 11(1), 479–516. <https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2015.0099>
- Coun, M. J. H., Edelbroek, R., Peters, P., & Blomme, R. J. (2021). Leading Innovative Work-Behavior in Times of COVID-19: Relationship between leadership style, innovative Work-Behavior, Work-Related flow, and IT-Enabled presence awareness during the first and second wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.717345>
- Curzi, Y., Fabbri, T., Scapolan, A. C., & Boscolo, S. (2019). Performance appraisal and innovative behavior in the digital era. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01659>
- Dahri, N. W., & Aqil, M. (2018). Organizational Culture, Job Satisfaction, and Organizational Commitment in Enhancing Innovative Behavior. *JBTI: Journal of Business: Theory and Implementation*, 9(2), 191–199.
- De Vries, H., Bekkers, V., & Tummers, L. (2015). INNOVATION IN THE PUBLIC SECTOR: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND FUTURE RESEARCH AGENDA. *Public Administration*, 94(1), 146–166. <https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.12209>
- Demir, M., Guney, S., Akyurek, S., Ugural, M., & Aslan, I. (2017). Effect of managers' organizational justice understanding on the level of employees' organizational commitment and job satisfaction. *Revista de Cercetare si Interventie Sociala*, 58, 146–165.
- Effendy, G.P. & Sukmarani, S. (2021). The Description of Innovative Work Behavior in Entrepreneurs. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research*, 655, 1791–1796.

- Field, A. (2014). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics (4th ed.)*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Gkontelos, A., Vaipoulou, J., & Stamovlasis, D. (2022). Teachers' Innovative Work Behavior Scale: Psychometric Properties of the Greek Version and Measurement Invariance across Genders. *Social Sciences*, 11(7), 306. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci11070306>
- González-González, T., & García-Almeida, D. J. (2021b). Frontline employee-driven innovation through suggestions in hospitality firms: The role of the employee's creativity, knowledge, and motivation. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 94, 102877. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2021.102877>
- Hansen, J. A., & Pihl-Thingvad, S. (2018). Managing employee innovative behavior through transformational and transactional leadership styles. *Public Management Review*, 21(6), 918–944. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2018.1544272>
- Haque, A. U., & Yamoah, F. A. (2021). The role of Ethical Leadership in Managing Occupational Stress to Promote Innovative work Behaviour: A Cross-Cultural Management Perspective. *Sustainability*, 13(17), 9608. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13179608>
- Johnson, R. B., & Christensen, L. (2014). *Educational research: Quantitative, qualitative, and mixed approaches (5th ed.)*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Johari, J., & Yahya, K. K. (2016). Job characteristics, work involvement, and job performance of public servants. *European Journal of Training and Development*, 40(7), 554–575. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ejtd-07-2015-0051>
- Hsu, J.-L., & Wang, J.-H. (2015). Exploring the effects of organizational justice on employees' innovative behavior in hospitality industry from the aspect of organizational support. *Revista de Cercetare si Interventie Sociala*, 49, 113–126.
- Hassan, M. (2024, November 14). Descriptive Research Design - types, methods and examples. *Research Method*. <https://researchmethod.net/descriptive-research-design/>
- Nurhayati, B.D., Kusmantini, T., & Wahyuningsih, T. (2021). Antecedents and implications of innovation capability: *empirical study of bakpia MSMES in Yogyakarta*. *Journal of Indonesian Economy and Business*. Vol. 36 (2). 179- 203.
- Oksanen, K., & Ståhle, P. (2014). Physical environment as a source for innovation: investigating the attributes of innovative space. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 17(6), 815–827. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jkm-04-2013-0136>
- Pan, C., Abbas, J., Alvarez-Otero, S., Khan, H., & Cai, C. (2022). Interplay between corporate social responsibility and organizational green culture and their role in employees' responsible behavior toward the

- environment and society. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 366, 132878.
- Paskov, P. (2024, March 16). To achieve sustainable development goals, let's get civil service right. *World Bank Blogs*. <https://blogs.worldbank.org/en/governance/achieve-sustainable-development-goals-lets-get-civil-service-right>
- Piotrowski, C. (2014). Mapping the research domain of I/O psychology: a content analysis of dissertations. *Psychol. Educ.* 51, 26–29.
- Piotrowski, C. (2016). Mapping the research domain in the field of applied psychology: a bibliometric analysis of the emerging literature. *J. Indian Acad. Appl. Psychol.* 42, 11–17.
- Prasetyo, JH, Ariawan, J., & Ariyanto, E. (2021). An Excellent Strategy in Reducing Turnover Intention at Permata Keluarga Bekasi Hospital. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 10 (1), 163–168. <https://www.ijstr.org/final-print/jan2021/An-Excellent-Strategy-In-Reducing-Turnover-Intention-At-Permata-Keluarga-Bekasi-Hospital.pdf>
- Pratama, E.W., Al Musadieg, M., & Mayowan, Y. (2016). "The effect of compensation and job satisfaction on organizational commitment (study on employees of Ksp Sumber Dana Mandiri Gresik)." *Journal of Business Administration (JAB)*, 34(1), 1-9.
- Prieto, I. M., & Pérez-Santana, M. P. (2014). Managing innovative work behavior: the role of human resource practices. *Personnel Review*, 43(2), 184–208. <https://doi.org/10.1108/pr-11-2012-0199>
- Rai, A., & Agarwal, U. A. (2019). Linking interactional injustice to EVLN outcomes. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 28(2), 488–506. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijoa-03-2019-1693>
- Ramadhani, L., Hasmayni, B., & Khairuddin, K. (2023). The Relationship Between Organizational Commitment and Innovative Behavior Among Teachers. *Journal Social Library*, 3(1), 30-36.
- Rupp, D. E., Shapiro, D. L., Folger, R., Skarlicki, D. P., & Shao, R. (2017). A critical analysis of the conceptualization and measurement of organizational justice: Is it time for reassessment? *Academy of Management Annals*, 11(2), 919–959. <https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2014.0051>
- Satwari, T., Musadieg, M., & Afrianty, T. (2016). Pengaruh komitmen organisasional terhadap turnover intentional (Survei pada karyawan Hotel Swiss-Belinn Malang). *Jurnal Administrasi Bisnis S1 Universitas Brawijaya*, 40(2), 177–186. <http://administrasibisnis.studentjournal.ub.ac.id/index.php/jab/article/view/1622>.
- Shah, S. T. H., Shah, S. M. A., & El-Gohary, H. (2022). Nurturing Innovative Work Behaviour through Workplace Learning among Knowledge Workers of Small and Medium Businesses. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 14(4), 3616–3639.

- <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-022-01019-5>
- Silva, M.R., & Caetano, A. (2014). Organizational justice: What changes, what remains the same? *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 27(1), 23–40.
- Singh, A., & Gupta, B. (2015). Job involvement, organizational commitment, professional commitment, and team commitment. *Benchmarking an International Journal*, 22(6), 1192–1211. <https://doi.org/10.1108/bij-01-2014-0007>
- Sirisilla, S. (2023). Bridging the Gap: Overcome these 7 Flaws in Descriptive Research Design. Retrieved [https://www.enago.com/academy/descriptive-research from design/#:~:text=Descriptive%20research%20design%20is%20a,a%20particular%20population%20or%20subject.](https://www.enago.com/academy/descriptive-research-from-design/#:~:text=Descriptive%20research%20design%20is%20a,a%20particular%20population%20or%20subject.)
- Srivastava, U. R. (2015). Multiple Dimensions of Organizational Justice and Work-Related Outcomes among Health-Care Professionals. *American Journal of Industrial and Business Management*, 05(11), 666–685. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ajibm.2015.511067>
- Soniewicki, M., & Paliszkievicz, J. (2019). The importance of knowledge management processes for the creation of competitive advantage by companies of varying size. *Entrepreneurial Business and Economics Review*, 7(3), 43–63. <https://doi.org/10.15678/eber.2019.070303>
- Stangor, C., & Walinga, J. (2019, June 28). 3.5 Psychologists Use Descriptive, Correlational, and Experimental Research Designs to Understand Behaviour – Introduction to Psychology. Pressbooks. <https://openpress.usask.ca/introductiontopsychology/chapter/psychologists-use-descriptive-correlational-and-experimental-research-designs-to-understand-behavior/>
- Swalhi, A., Zgoulli, S., & Hofaidhllaoui, M. (2017). The influence of organizational justice on job performance. *Journal of Management Development*, 36(4), 542–559. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jmd-11-2015-0162>
- Sun, S. (2021). The relationship between public service motivation and affective commitment in public sector change: A moderated-mediation model. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.631948>
- Syaifuddin S, Fajar RA, Yusniar L, and Nasib N (2022). Can life satisfaction become an important role in increasing employee performance? A case studies. *Journal of System and Management Sciences*, 12(6): 379-397.
- Taylor, J., Brewer, G. A., & Ripoll, G. (2022). Towards a measure of institutional public service motivation: theoretical underpinnings and propositions for future research. *Asia Pacific Journal of Public Administration*, 44(3), 195–213. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23276665.2022.2085125>
- Tessema, M. T., Tsegai, G., Ready, K., Embaye, A., & Windrow, B. (2014).

- Effect of employee background on perceived organizational justice: managerial implications. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 80(2), 443–463. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852313514516>
- Wan, H. L. (2015). Organizational Justice–Organizational Citizenship behavior. In *Governance and citizenship in Asia* (pp. 179–205). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-0030-0_8.
- Wulandari, D., & Yuliasih, E. (2018). The Mediating Role of Innovative Work Behavior in the Relationship Between Organizational Learning and Employee Performance, *Journal of International Management Studies*, 17(1), 1-10.
- Yadav, M. S. (2014). Enhancing theory development in marketing. *AMS Review*, 4(1–2), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13162-014-0059-z>
- Yadav, M., Choudhary, S., & Jain, S. (2019). Transformational leadership and knowledge sharing behavior in freelancers. *Journal of Global Operations and Strategic Sourcing*, 12(2), 202–224. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jgoss-08-2017-0030>
- Yean, T. F., & Yusof, A. A. (2016). Organizational Justice: A Conceptual Discussion. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 219, 798–803. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.05.082>
- Yulianti, P. (2015). Komitmen Organisasional Perspektif: Konsep dan Empiris. *Jurnal Studi Manajemen Dan Bisnis*, 2(1), 52–62. <https://doi.org/10.21107/jsmb.v2i1.1503>