

THE Status of Financial Well-Being of Farmers: Basis for INTERVENTION

Wilmar P. Labanon¹, Presentacion C. Acosta, DBA²

¹Instructor, Davao del Sur State College
wilmarlabanon20@gmail.com

²University of the Immaculate Conception, Davao City, Philippines
pacosta@uic.edu.ph

ABSTRACT

Despite farmers' contributions to the country's economic growth, their financial well-being is vulnerable to instability, limited access to credit, and rising production costs. The main objective of this study was to ascertain the financial well-being of farmers in Bansalan, Davao del Sur, and to determine differences based on their profiles. The targeted respondents, selected through disproportionate sampling, comprised 300 farmers: 216 planters of traditional crops and 84 producers of high-value crops. This study employed a descriptive-comparative design. Data were analyzed using frequency counts, mean scores, standard deviations, T-Tests, and ANOVA. The results revealed that farmers' financial well-being was generally moderate. Findings further indicated that there were no significant differences in financial well-being across demographic factors. Moreover, the results suggest an intervention plan to help agricultural stakeholders and local administrators intensify efforts to improve their quality of life by enhancing access to support services, facilities, and livelihood opportunities.

KEYWORDS: *business management, farmers, financial well-being, descriptive-comparative, Philippines*

INTRODUCTION

Employee Financial well-being is an individual's perception of their everyday financial situation, the ability to weather short-term financial shocks, the perception of being able to meet their financial goals, and the flexibility to make financial choices and to engage in leisure- and recreation-related activities and services (Zhang & Chatterjee, 2023). Research indicates that farmers' ability to manage money effectively and prepare for financial uncertainties is often undermined by irregular income cycles, inadequate savings, and limited access to insurance (Herrera et al., 2021). Moreover, Latruffe et al. (2016) highlighted that the financial well-being of farmers is shaped by processes

operating at different scales, from local to global. However, challenges such as climatic hazards, rising input costs, price volatility, and inadequate policies disrupt their productivity and income stability, worsening their financial vulnerabilities (Richardson & McNamara, 2022). Consequently, the study of Breslow et al. (2017) emphasized that farmers' inability to manage debts, combined with limited access to financial services, significantly undermines their financial well-being.

The study by Bugge and Skibrek (2020) advocated a multidimensional approach that transcends traditional economic measures, such as income and savings, to better understand farmers' financial well-being. It incorporates subjective evaluations, such as satisfaction with income and perceived financial security, alongside social components, such as access to community support and cooperative systems. Farmers are usually measured by the debt-asset ratio, which indicates significant financial problems tied to inconsistent incomes, macroeconomic policies, and personal management choices; thus, it underscores the importance of human capital in determining financial outcomes (Key et al., 2019). Understanding farmers' financial well-being requires addressing both economic and non-economic factors. Insights into their challenges enable targeted interventions to enhance resilience and promote sustainable farming practices, thereby improving financial stability (Obster & Bohle, 2023).

A set of personal and demographic factors determines farmers' financial well-being. Age, educational attainment, and work experience shape financial behaviors such as money management and future planning; generally, older and more educated people are financially stronger because they have knowledge and resources that others do not (Singh et al., 2022). In addition to this, household size affects the financial well-being of families because it determines income needs and also how resources are distributed; on the other hand, larger households face more pressure than smaller ones in terms of finances, whereas smaller units tend to enjoy more opportunities in terms of savings as well as investments (Palle et al., 2017). Another important factor is farm size; larger farms tend to yield higher productivity and income potential than smaller ones, which face revenue-generation constraints, thereby impacting financial stability (Singh et al., 2022). The consistency of income from high-value or market-stable crops is quite different from that of income from crops prone to seasonal or market variability (Isaac et al., 2024).

In the Philippines, the financial well-being of farmers is under

significant strain, with restricted access to capital being a major concern (Lualhati et al., 2018). Additionally, factors such as inadequate technical support and unprofitable farming returns further weaken their economic viability. This scenario adversely affects not only their financial security but also their quality of life and sustainability in agriculture (Delco, 2020). The researcher has found only a limited number of studies addressing farmers' financial well-being and has not encountered any published research specifically focused on farmers in Bansalan, Davao del Sur. Thus, this study aims to examine farmers' financial well-being in the local context, considering factors that influence their economic stability and overall financial status.

The results of this study may serve as a foundation for the Department of Agriculture and its attached agencies to design interventions to improve the financial well-being and overall quality of life of farmers. Moreover, the researcher plans to publish the results in a refereed research journal and present the results at national and international research conferences. Also, he intends to share his findings by providing copies of the research output to the University of the Immaculate Conception (UIC) graduate school library and the libraries of the research locale.

METHODS

This study employed a quantitative technique, particularly a descriptive-comparative approach. According to Arnold (2019), a descriptive-comparative design is used to test the expected strength of one variable relative to another to determine whether there are statistical differences between them.

Descriptive research involves identifying the characteristics of a particular phenomenon through observation or investigating relationships between two or more phenomena (Editor & Siedlecki, 2020). Further, Pickvance (2015) notes that comparative design is primarily used to elucidate and gain a comprehensive understanding of causal processes involving events, features, or associations, commonly by examining differences in the investigated variables. It emphasized the justification of differences and the clarification of relationships.

In this study, the respondents' financial well-being is described. Likewise, the respondents' financial well-being was compared with their age, educational attainment, farm size, and crop types.

This study focuses on farmers in the municipality of Bansalan, Davao del Sur, an area with a significant agricultural presence and many residents who rely on farming as their primary source of income. The location is specifically chosen because of the large number of farmers from various sectors, such as crop cultivation, livestock raising, and other agricultural activities, who will be the identified respondents. These farmers regularly engage with local financial institutions for services such as savings, loans, and other financial products, making them well-versed in the financial concepts relevant to this study. The familiarity of farmers with both farming practices and financial systems offers a valuable opportunity to assess their financial well-being within Bansalan's agricultural community.

The researcher used the basic process of collecting the necessary data. The process starts with getting the approval of the University of the Immaculate Conception Graduate School Dean for the conduct of the study. Then, ethical clearance was obtained from the UIC Research Ethics Committee for the entire board review of the moral aspects of the study, the ten dimensions of research ethics, including social value, informed consent, vulnerability issues, risk-benefit ratio, privacy and confidentiality of information, justice, transparency, qualification of the researchers, adequacy of facilities, and community involvement. Letters to conduct the research were sent to the Barangay Captain of the area where the respondents reside. During data collection, the researcher ensured that the participants' work was not disturbed.

Before distributing the survey questionnaires, participants were informed that their participation in the study was voluntary. If they choose to participate, they were asked to sign the informed consent form. However, if they felt uncomfortable at any point, they were free to withdraw from the survey without consequences. The survey was administered face-to-face to ensure that all eligible respondents were included. This method helps ensure effective data collection while allowing respondents' questions or concerns to be addressed immediately. In accordance with the Data Privacy Act of 2012, all data collected were anonymized using coding to protect participant confidentiality. The data was securely stored for research purposes and, after this period, securely disposed of, such as by permanently deleting digital files. The information was not shared with any third parties without the participants' explicit consent. Lastly, data collection lasted for 1 month and 3 days.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The demographic profile of the farmers showed that the majority of the 300 respondents were 41–50 years old (58.7%), indicating that most farmers were in their middle adulthood, likely with several years of farming experience. In terms of educational attainment, most farmers were elementary-level graduates (66.3%), suggesting many had limited formal education, which may have affected their access to modern farming information and technologies. For household size, the majority had three to five household members (77.0%), indicating they typically lived in moderately sized families, which could have influenced their labor force participation or financial responsibilities. Regarding farm size, most respondents owned one to two hectares of farmland (70.3%), suggesting that small-scale farming was common among them. Lastly, in terms of crops planted, a large portion (72.0%) planted traditional crops, suggesting a strong reliance on familiar farming practices and possibly limited exposure to or resources for transitioning to high-value crops.

Table 1
Demographic Profile of the Farmers

	Frequency	Percent (%)
Age		
30 years old and below	5	1.7
31-40 years old	13	4.3
41-50 years old	173	57.7
51 years old and above	109	36.3
Total	300	100.0
Educational Attainment		
Elementary level/elementary graduate	199	66.3
High School level/ high school graduate	56	18.7
College level/ college graduate	45	15.0
Total	300	100.0
Household Size		
One to two household members	19	6.3
Three to five household members	231	77.0
Greater than five household members	50	16.7
Total	300	100.0
Size of the Farm		
One to two hectares	211	70.3
Three to five hectares	80	26.7
Greater than 5 hectares	9	3.0
Total	300	100.0

Crops Planted		
High-value crops	28.0	28.0
Traditional crops	72.0	72.0
Total	300	100.0

Status of Financial Well-being of Farmers. The overall financial well-being status is 3.17, which is described as moderate, indicating that farmers' financial well-being is sometimes evident and that, despite financial constraints, they demonstrate a sense of financial security and resilience. Their financial well-being is generally observable in their day-to-day experiences, reflecting prudent financial attitudes and a forward-looking mindset. Among the indicators presented, the financial future yielded the highest category mean of 4.32, while having money obtained the lowest category mean of 1.63. In addition, the overall standard deviation is 0.52, which is less than 1, indicating that the respondents' ratings are clustered around the mean.

This result aligns with previous research, indicating that individuals in rural or agricultural sectors often experience short-term financial constraints while maintaining high financial efficacy and goal-setting behavior (Xiao & O'Neill, 2016). Likewise, Brügger et al. (2017) have highlighted this tendency, emphasizing the resilience and financial competence of individuals despite their financial challenges, and their ability to set financial goals despite short-term constraints demonstrates a proactive approach to managing personal finances. These individuals tend to prioritize long-term stability, even when faced with immediate financial hardships.

Additionally, Kempson et al. (2017) highlighted that individuals may feel positive about their financial future. However, their present capacity to meet immediate expenses often remains constrained, and individuals in lower-income sectors, despite optimism, face significant barriers in managing day-to-day financial demands. Similarly, Serido et al. (2020) echoed these findings, emphasizing the gap between financial aspirations and the reality of managing short-term expenses.

Table 2
Status of Financial Well-being of Farmers

	Mean	SD	Description
2.1 Money Management			
Category Mean	4.19	.67	High
2.2 Financial Future			

Category Mean	4.32	.58	Very High
2.3 Having Money			
Category Mean	1.63	.57	Very Low
2.4 Peer Comparisons			
Category Mean	2.55	.25	Low
Overall Mean	3.17	.52	Moderate

The money management domain had a category mean of 4.19, indicating high performance, which often connotes evidence. It implies that farmers were satisfied with their money management practices, demonstrating effective budgeting, disciplined spending, and confidence in managing their financial responsibilities. Consequently, item 3, Feeling we can handle our financial situation, has the highest mean rating of 4.32. In contrast, item 1, Being satisfied with the way we manage our money, has the lowest mean of 4.06; this means that the responses in this dimension are diverse, suggesting that while respondents feel confident in handling their financial situation, some may still struggle with effectively managing their money. This finding supports the argument of Supeno et al. (2024), who emphasized that a strong sense of financial confidence among farmers contributes to higher levels of capability in managing agricultural expenditures and making informed economic decisions.

Interestingly, Rahman et al. (2019) noted that farmers may feel confident in managing their finances through careful budgeting and prioritizing essential expenses. On the other hand, rising costs of farming inputs will make it difficult for some people to balance their budgets, as they struggle to allocate funds for necessities. Additionally, Singh and Kumar (2020) argue that fluctuations in agricultural prices create financial uncertainty for farmers, making it even more challenging to ensure adequate stability in their financial situations. As a result, Garcia and Lopez (2021) found that some farmers relied on alternative sources of income or turned to financial assistance programs to address financial shortages and maintain the viability of their farms.

The financial future domain had a category mean of 4.32, indicating that farmers' financial well-being is consistently evident. This result reflects that farmers have a strong sense of financial security and optimism about their future. They demonstrate strong confidence in managing short-term financial plans, attaining financial satisfaction through dedication, and ensuring their career trajectory supports long-term financial security. Consequently, item 5, Having enough money to carry out our plans in the near future, has a mean of 4.20, while item 4, Being on the right track to meet our financial goals, has a

mean rating of 4.43.

This finding aligns with Fronda's (2024) study, which found high financial well-being among farmers, indicating confidence in managing near-term financial plans and achieving financial satisfaction through commitment. These findings align with the observed financial well-being among farmers, indicating confidence in managing near-term financial plans and achieving financial satisfaction through commitment (Sanglay et al., 2021).

Having money obtained a category mean rating of 1.60, described as very low, was determined after reverse-coding the mean ratings, which ranged from 1.60 to 1.66. This means that farmers' financial well-being is never evident. It implies that farmers are facing persistent financial challenges, limiting their ability to participate in social activities and meet essential financial needs. Notably, item 11. Being able to do things with our friends without the financial limitations of 1.66, while the items. Always having enough funds to buy the things we need. shows a mean rating of 1.60. This finding supports the study by Badong et al. (2024), which identified factors such as restricted access to financial credit, insufficient technological resources, and weak market connectivity. These limitations contribute significantly to farmers' financial struggles, reducing their ability to meet essential needs and participate in social and economic activities.

The peer comparisons domain yielded a category mean of 2.55, described as low, with item mean ratings ranging from 4.42 to 1.60 after reverse coding. This indicates that farmers' financial well-being relative to their peers is rarely evident. The low category mean suggests that farmers feel financially secure and perceive themselves as lagging behind their peers in economic stability. Item 13, Having a financial situation that is better than that of our peers has a mean of 4.42. Notably, items 14 and 15 — "Having a financial situation that is equal to or better than that of our friends" and "Having more money available for free time activities compared to our peers" — both recorded the same mean rating of 1.60. The results are consistent with the study by Park et al. (2021), which found that farmers in rural areas perceive their financial situations as poorer than those of their urban peers, despite being relatively more financially stable. The research showed that social comparisons can increase feelings of financial insecurity, especially when peers seem to have more discretionary income for leisure activities or status in general.

Accordingly, Nguyen et al. (2020) argued that farmers at the lower end of the financial spectrum often struggle to maintain a comparable standard of living and to invest in business, thereby further exacerbating feelings of economic disadvantage. The study also emphasized that these perceptions may sway farmers' beliefs about financial planning and long-term security. These findings further support Garcia and Cruz (2019), who found that farmers who predominantly rely on seasonal income tend to experience financial uncertainty, which heightens their propensity for negative social comparisons and renders their perception of financial prominence harmful.

Comparison of the Financial Well-being of the Farmers based on their Profile. The ANOVA results revealed that the financial well-being of farmers is not significantly influenced by factors such as age, educational attainment, household size, farm size, or the type of crops they planted.

More importantly, the results indicate that age ($p = 0.798$, $F = 0.337$) does not differ significantly in financial well-being across age groups of farmers. This confirms the findings of Bamisha and Nidheesh (2022), demonstrating that age does not affect farmers' financial well-being. Second, the non-significant level of the p-value of education (0.409; $F = 0.898$) implied that the educational level is not substantially related to financial well-being, which is consistent with the findings of Gerrans et al. (2020) that shed light on financial resilience and other identifiers, as opposed to education alone, as more accurate predictors of financial well-being.

Regarding household size, the p-value of 0.529 ($F = 0.638$) indicates that it does not have a meaningful impact on financial well-being. This result is consistent with the studies by Thongrak et al. (2021) and Nanziri and Leibbrandt (2018), which found that family size does not affect household financial satisfaction. Similarly, the farm size analysis yielded a p-value of 0.395 ($t = 0.931$), indicating that farm size does not affect farmers' financial well-being. Georgieva (2024) also noted that the increased capacity of larger farm organizations to access more capital can lead to lower productivity, while smaller farm organizations are potentially more profitable but face different challenges.

Finally, for the type of crop grown, the p-value of 0.843 ($t = 0.199$) is insignificant, indicating that financial well-being is not a matter of which crop to grow. This finding supports Briones (2019), who noted that while

high-value crops may increase profits, they do not guarantee financial stability due to factors such as fluctuating market prices, climate risks, and production costs.

Table 3
Comparison of the Financial Well-being of the Farmers based on their Profile

	Mean	SD	Df	t/F	p-value	Remarks
Age			3	.337	.780	Not significant
30 years old and below	3.40	.17				
31-40 years old	3.25	.16				
41-50 years old	3.32	.19				
51 years old and above	3.33	.17				
Educational Attainment			2	.898	.260	Not Significant
Elementary level/elementary graduate	3.28	.19				
High School level/ high school graduate	3.35	.18				
College level/ college graduate	3.33	.17				
Household Size			2	.638	.809	Not Significant
One to two household member	3.25	.17				
Three to five household member	3.30	.18				
Greater than five household members	3.28	.20				
Size of the Farm			2	.931	.553	Not Significant
One to two hectares	3.28	.17				
Three to five hectares	3.30	.20				
Greater than 5 hectares	3.35	.25				
Crops Planted			29	.199	.845	Not Significant
High-value crops	3.32	.18				
Traditional crops	3.31	.18				

Intervention Plan

Title of the Activity: Enhancing Financial Well-being and Peer Comparisons through Financial Stability Program

Rationale: Financial well-being plays a crucial role in improving farmers' overall quality of life and productivity. Many farmers face financial challenges, including inconsistent income and difficulty managing their resources effectively. Additionally, peer comparisons significantly influence

their financial confidence and decision-making, impacting their long-term financial stability.

To combat this, the program seeks to impart practical financial management strategies and promote a savings-oriented culture and financial literacy among farmers. By identifying specific needs and building on the weakest of the established domains of financial well-being,, the program aims to equip farmers with a tailored understanding and tools to support the prep work to flourish as successful, resilient farmers. It also encourages goal-setting behaviors that align with both short- and long-term financial priorities. By fostering a proactive approach, the program empowers farmers to make informed decisions and avoid unnecessary financial risks.

Issues	Objectives	Strategies	Persons Involved	Timeline	Indicators
Having Money	Ensure farmers have access to financial resources to sustain their farming operations and household needs. Address financial disparities among farmers through inclusive financial programs.	Offers low-interest credit Includes emergency support during natural disasters Accessible to all eligible farmers regardless of farm size Provide training on small-scale agribusiness ventures to support long-term financial security	Local Government Unit-Municipal Agriculture Office, Department of Agriculture, Farmer Organizations, and Cooperatives	Initial Planning and Coordination – June -July, 2025 Implementation phase- June-December, 2025 Monitoring and Evaluation- January- March, 2026	100% of farmers have access to low-interest loans and financial assistance program. An increased number of farmers are enrolled in savings/investment program
Peer Comparison	Reduce financial stress by improving farmers' understanding of financial health and success metrics. Promote inclusive and	Focus on realistic goal-setting and tracking personal financial progress. Promote healthy benchmarking practices to reduce stress from peer comparisons.	Local Government Unit-Municipal Agriculture Office, Department of Agriculture, Farmer Organizations, and Cooperatives	Initial Planning and Coordination – June -July, 2025 Implementation phase- June-December, 2025 Monitoring and Evaluation-	Increased participation in peer networks and collaborative farming initiatives. Reduced reports of financial

	supportive peer environments that reduce negative financial comparisons.			January-March, 2026	stress related to peer comparisons.
--	--	--	--	---------------------	-------------------------------------

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study's findings provided several valuable insights. Most of the farmers had attained only an elementary-level education. In terms of land ownership, the majority managed small-scale farms, typically owning one to two hectares of land. Additionally, a majority of respondents cultivated traditional crops, while a smaller group planted high-value crops.

The overall financial well-being of the farmers was found to be moderate, indicating that it is sometimes evident. (implication). However, analysis of specific sub-indicators revealed that the “Having Money” aspect had a very low mean score, which is rarely observed, suggesting that many farmers continued to struggle to meet their basic financial needs. Similarly, the low rating for "Peer Comparisons" pointed to financial stress when farmers compared their financial situations with those of their peers.

When financial well-being was analyzed across different demographic groups—such as age, civil status, educational attainment, household size, farm size, and type of crops planted—no significant differences were found. This suggests that financial challenges are widespread and not limited to specific segments of the farming population.

These findings affirm the relevance of the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) by Ajzen (1991), which underpins this study. The results demonstrate that farmers' financial behavior is shaped not only by their financial literacy but also by their perceived control over their financial situation. The moderate financial well-being and low perception of financial sufficiency reflect the TPB's principle that attitudes, perceived behavioral control, and intentions play a vital role in shaping actual behavior. Thus, enhancing financial knowledge and perceived control may positively influence farmers' intentions and actions toward better financial management.

REFERENCES

- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179–211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(91\)90020-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T) (Corrected spelling from "Azjen")
- Arnold, L. (2019). *Research methods in social sciences: Applications and analysis*. Academic Publishing House.
- Badong, G. A. F., Badong, K. G., Balona, J. J. N., Camaro, P. S. S., Capistrano, J. K. D., Ogarte, N. A., & San Buenaventura, J. A. (2024). From Rice Fields to Resilience: A Multidimensional Analysis of Farmers' Livelihoods in the Philippines.
- Bamisha, K. P., & Nidheesh, K. B. (2022). Relationship between financial capability and financial well-being of organic farmers in Kerala, India. *The Journal of Contemporary Issues in Business and Government*, 28(3), 373–385.
- Breslow, S. J., Allen, M., Holstein, D., Sojka, B., Barnea, R., Basurto, X., Carothers, C., Charnley, S., Coulthard, S., Donatuto, J., García-Quijano, C., Hicks, C. C., Mascia, M. B., Norman, K., Poe, M., Satterfield, T., Martin, K. S., Levin, P. S., Jo, S., ... Evaluating PSL. (2017). Evaluating indicators of human well-being for ecosystem-based management. *Ecosystem Health and Sustainability*, 3(12), e0141767. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20964129.2017.1411767>
- Briones, R. M. (2019). Diversification into high-value crops in the Philippines: Constraints and key determinants. *Food and Fertilizer Technology Center (FFTC) Agricultural Policy Platform*. <https://ap.ffc.org.tw/article/1472>
- Brüggen, E. C., Hogreve, J., Holmlund, M., Kabadayi, S., & Löfgren, M. (2017). Financial well-being: A conceptualization and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2017.03.013>
- Bugge, C. T., & Skibrek, P. K. (2020). Automatic milking systems and farmer wellbeing – Exploring the effects of automation and digitalization in dairy farming. *Journal of Rural Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2020.10.028>
- Delco, M. D. (2020). Socio-demography, pesticides use, and health status of rice farmers in Region XII, Mindanao. *Asian Research Journal of Agriculture*, 13(4), 11–23. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ARJA/2020/v13i430109>
- Editor, C., & Siedlecki, S. L. (2020). [Please insert missing article title here].

- Nursing Research, February, 8–12.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/NUR.0000000000000493>
- Fronza, J. G. (2024). Empowering Nueva Ecija's farmers through microfinancing: A blueprint for enhancing financial literacy and agricultural resilience. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 14(4), 123–130. <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijefi.1633>
- Garcia, M., & Lopez, R. (2021). Alternative income strategies and financial sustainability in small-scale farming. *International Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 58(4), 255–270.
- Garcia, M. L., & Cruz, E. T. (2019). Financial stability and social perception in farming communities. *Philippine Journal of Rural Development*, 28(1), 55–70.
- Georgieva, V. (2024). Increasing or decreasing scale? The pros and cons of farm size for financial sustainability. *Agris on-line Papers in Economics and Informatics*, 16(2), Article 160203. <https://doi.org/10.7160/aol.2024.160203>
- Gerrans, P., Speelman, C. P., & Campitelli, G. (2020). The relationship between personal financial wellness and financial behaviors. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance*, 27, 100340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbef.2020.100340>
- Herrera, B., Maria, S., & Knierim, B. A. (2021). Measuring farmers' well-being: Influence of farm-level factors on satisfaction with work and quality of life. *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, March, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-9552.12457>
- Isaac, M. E., Lin, T., Caillon, S., Sebastien, L., Macdonald, K., Prudham, S., Doncieux, A., Renard, D., Aumeeruddy, Y., Lisa, T., Océane, V., Locqueville, J., & Sterling, E. (2024). Multidimensional measures of farmer well-being: A scoping review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 44(4), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-024-00971-7>
- Kempson, E., Finney, A., & Poppe, C. (2017). *Financial well-being: A conceptual model and preliminary analysis*. Oslo: Consumption Research Norway – SIFO, OsloMet.
- Key, N., Burns, C., & Lyons, G. (2019). *Financial Conditions in the U.S. Agricultural Sector: Historical Comparisons*. Economic Research Service, USDA.
- Latruffe, L., Diazabakana, A., Bockstaller, C., Desjeux, Y., Finn, J., Kelly, E., Ryan, M., & Uthes, S. (2016). Measurement of sustainability in agriculture: A review of indicators among three sustainability pillars. *Sustainability*, 118, 123–130.

- Lualhati, G. P., Manalo, G. V., Manalo, J. M., & Ramos, J. A. (2018). Satisfaction of Filipino crop farmers on agricultural credit. *Asian Journal of Agriculture and Development Studies*, 1(2), 21–28.
- Nanziri, E. L., & Leibbrandt, M. (2018). Financial inclusion and well-being in South Africa: Evidence from FinScope surveys. *Development Southern Africa*, 35(1), 26–50. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0376835X.2017.1401952>
- Nguyen, T. M., Pham, V. Q., & Tran, L. H. (2020). The role of social comparison in financial satisfaction among farmers. *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 15(2), 112–128.
- Obster, F., & Bohle, H. (2023). Factors other than climate change are currently more important in predicting how well fruit farms are doing financially. *Agricultural Systems*, 0–30.
- Palle, A., Mohan, M. J., & Rao, I. S. (2017). Profile characteristics of watershed farmers and the extent of adoption of NRM practices in watershed areas of the Andhra Pradesh State. *Journal of Natural Resources*, 67–72. (Note: Double check if this is the correct 'Palle' entry intended for the introduction).
- Park, J. H., Kim, S. Y., & Lee, D. K. (2021). Social comparisons and financial well-being in rural communities: A study of farmers' economic perceptions. *Journal of Rural Sociology*, 86(4), 321–340.
- Pickvance, C. (2015). The four varieties of comparative analysis: The case of environmental regulation. *Journal of Housing and the Built Environment*, September 2005.
- Rahman, M. S., Uddin, M. M., & Hossain, K. M. (2019). Financial literacy and budgeting practices among smallholder farmers. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 42(1), 33–41.
- Richardson, N., & McNamara, G. (2022). Supporting farmer wellbeing: Exploring a potential role for advisors. *The Journal of Agricultural Education and Extension*, 28(3), 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1389224X.2022.2082498>
- Sanglay, P. M., Apat, E. J., Sumague, J., & Tec, E. (2021). Financial literacy and income distribution of rice farmers. *International Journal of Accounting, Finance and Education*, 2(3), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.53378/348732>
- Serido, J., Shim, S., & Tang, C. (2020). Financial parenting, financial coping behaviors, and well-being of emerging adults. *Family and Consumer Sciences Research Journal*, 48(3), 249–267. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fcsr.12352>
- Singh, R., & Kumar, S. (2020). Impact of price fluctuations on financial stability

- among rural farmers. *Indian Journal of Agribusiness Studies*, 25(3), 145–163.
- Singh, H., Nagpal, P., Dafouti, A., & Nanda, H. B. (2022). Socio-economic profile: A tool for improving cultural and economic status of farmers from SAS Nagar (Mohali) district Punjab. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 11(11), 831–834.
- Supeno, H., Wijaya, T., & Kurniawan, R. (2024). Financial self-efficacy and budgeting behavior among Indonesian farmers. *International Journal of Rural Economic Development*, 10(1), 77–85.
- Thongrak, N., Chancharat, S., & Kijkasiwat, P. (2021). Financial literacy: Does it improve well-being? A case study of farmers in Khon Kaen, Thailand. In W. A. Barnett & B. S. Sergi (Eds.), *Environmental, Social, and Governance Perspectives on Economic Development in Asia* (Vol. 29A, pp. 117–129). Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Xiao, J. J., & O'Neill, B. (2016). Consumer financial education and financial capability. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 40(6), 712–721. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12285>
- Zhang, Y., & Chatterjee, S. (2023). Financial well-being in the United States: The roles of financial literacy and financial stress. *Journal of Financial Counseling and Planning*.